

# Out-of-School Time

---

## *The Education and Youth Development Plan*

- I. Opening/Review Agenda**
- II. Brief overview of EYD Plan and updates**
- III. Review initial mapping of initiatives**
  - a. Thoughts:
    - i. Consider agencies who fund organizations – what do they know is happening? What are criteria for funding?
    - ii. Work from the Children’s Budget backwards – where does it go – why?
      - 1. Include funded organizations in the chart.
    - iii. Include agencies who take on youth for SYEP in the charts
- IV. Defining “success”**
  - a. **What is success?**
    - i. Stability in Programming – a caring adult in a child’s life is important but that adult interaction or program interaction must be consistent. Children have enough inconsistency at home.
    - ii. All children and youth have access to quality, safe, enriching activities outside of the school day.
    - iii. All children are consistently involved in stable OST activities throughout the years.
    - iv. All “meaningful” activities feed into the other 5 citywide goals.
- V. Data**
  - a. **There is a need for universal tools to measure outcomes of programs (What is “meaningful”?)**
    - i. Who organizes these universal measurements?
      - 1. Trust, funders, providers?
    - ii. Inventory/clearinghouse of assessments
      - 1. No single assessment is adequate
      - 2. But the District can provide guidance on which assessments should be used
      - 3. Assessments can be problematic because of different programs’ target populations
        - a. Implication→ultimately, who will see/use this data and how will they process it
    - iii. Incentives
      - 1. There must be incentives to get CBOs buy-in to use a universal assessment tool.
        - a. e.g. DCPS’ vetting system – providing data in return for vetting
    - iv. Commitment and Consistency
      - 1. The assessment needs to be something that is committed to in the long term rather than something that will be continually tweaked or changed in order to get a buy-in from CBOs.
        - a. Providers carry the risk
  - b. Data collection
    - i. Currently there is no data collection on community-based OST programs. This needs to happen

- ii. Involve Universities.
  - 1. Bring in academics to create a global assessment tool
  - 2. Have universities audit current offerings for youth and compile the information online for community use.

**VI. What does the EYD plan look like? What is the outcome of the EYD plan?**

- a. Collaboration
  - i. Ideally the EYD plan would bring together different groups/cross-sector to collaborate and communicate (e.g. public libraries, rec centers, and non-profit youth organizations).
- b. Leadership
  - i. Ideally the EYD plan would establish a single point of leadership, strategy, and purpose.
  - ii. Currently the power is too fragmented and diffuse to be productive.
- c. Budget
  - i. Ideally there would be a central budget
- d. Youth Voices
  - i. Ideally, the youth would have a loud voice in expressing their needs and potential reaction to plans.
- e. Common Standards
  - i. Ideally, common standards and expectations would be developed and published for CBOs to be aware of when applying for public funding.
- f. Transparency
  - i. Ideally, each agency involved would share goals and current initiatives in order to identify gaps, etc.
    - 1. Useful for CBO's to determine need/gaps
- g. Recommendations
  - i. Ideally, the EYD plan would establish system level recommendations to encourage all of the above (collaboration, leadership, budget issues, including youth, creating common standards, establishing transparency).
- h. FRAMEWORK
  - i. Ideally, the EYD plan would be a comprehensive framework for success in youth development.
- i. Structure
  - i. SCYFF
    - 1. Sub-committees for agency representatives below the director level
    - 2. Youth must be represented
  - ii. Education and Youth Development Czar
    - 1. Would such a position be useful?
    - 2. Centralized strategy and leadership
    - 3. Directing coordinated efforts